RECORD OF EXECUTIVE DECISION

Monday, 15 February 2010

Decision No: (CAB 09/10 2180)

DECISION-MAKER:	CABINET
PORTFOLIO AREA:	Environment and Transport
SUBJECT:	Proposals for permit parking in Vermont Close and Talbot Close in Bassett (TRO)
AUTHOR:	Graham Muir

THE DECISION

On consideration of the report of the Head of Highways and Parking Services concerning objections received during public consultations on proposals for permit parking in Vermont Close and Talbot Close in Bassett and having received written and verbal representations from the Directors of the Red Lodge Community Pool, the decision maker made the following decision:-

- (i) That the proposed parking as advertised in Vermont Close/Talbot Close be amended to include additional spaces from Winchester Road to Vermont Close.
- (ii) That the permit parking scheme is reviewed in 9 months to establish whether a satisfactory long term arrangement can be made for parking provision for visitors to Red Lodge Community Pool off the highway.

REASONS FOR THE DECISION

To enable further consideration to the parking problems being experienced by residents, their visitors, users and staff at the Red Lodge Community Pool as well as the expected additional traffic congestion during the school construction period.

DETAILS OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

1. The two sections of *permit parking only* with approved signing from the Department for Transport (DfT) cannot be amended to include a period of limited waiting. This is because the Traffic Signs Manual specifically states that this signing (without road markings) may only be used where parking is *solely for permit holders*.

2. Amending the entire scheme to 2 hour limited waiting except permit holders, was considered but rejected. This was previously put forward to residents (see Map at Appendix 2) and proved unacceptable on the basis of the amount of No Waiting at

Any Time parking restrictions that would be required (as any parking places of this type would require road markings).

3. Extending the public consultation further to consider any alternative scheme design was considered and rejected at this stage. Given the level of commuter parking, it would appear to be in the interest of residents and RLCP for the scheme to be implemented now as currently proposed and to defer any further possible amendments until more detailed consideration of off-highway parking provision had been considered.

OTHER RELEVANT MATTERS CONCERNING THE DECISION

None.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

None.

CONFIRMED AS A TRUE RECORD

We certify that the decision this document records was made in accordance with the Local Authorities (Executive Arrangements) (Access to Information) (England) Regulations 2000 and is a true and accurate record of that decision.

Date:15th February 2010

Decision Maker: The Cabinet

Proper Officer: Judy Cordell

SCRUTINY

Note: This decision will come in to force at the expiry of 5 working days from the date of publication subject to any review under the Council's Scrutiny "Call-In" provisions.

Call-In Period expires on

Date of Call-in (*if applicable*) (*this suspends implementation*)

Call-in Procedure completed *(if applicable)*

Call-in heard by (if applicable)

Results of Call-in (if applicable)